

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,901	08/18/2003	Samuel Everett Campbell	194-28572-US	3193	
24923 75	90 04/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PAUL S MADAN			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
MADAN, MOS 2603 AUGUST	SSMAN & SRIRAM, PC A. SUITE 700		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, T			1712		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/10/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,901	CAMPBELL, SAN	CAMPBELL, SAMUEL EVERETT			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Philip C. Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	February 2006.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 2-19 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3-14</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2, 18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			,				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 2, 18 and 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Parent claim 3 teaches that the point of introduction would be at the interface of two phases, whereas claim 2 teaches that the point of introduction is at the top of the column. The scope of the claim is thus not clear.

There is no antecedent basis for "the lighter hydrocarbon portion" in line 6 of claim 18. dependent claim 19 falls herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

Art Unit: 1712

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 3. (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.

5. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being obvious over WO 01/94744.

WO '744 teaches a method of treating a well comprising using a microcapsule containing chemicals, and weighting agents within the scope of the present invention (see pages 8-12). It is taught therein that the weighting agent allows for specific placement within the well. Since capsules of a density of 0.9 may be used, such must reside in the lighter hydrocarbon portion of the well, since the density of water or any brine present would be 1 or greater. To the extent that the capsules of density 0.9 being in the lighter hydrocarbon portion is not instantly envisaged by one of ordinary skill in the art, then such would be obvious in view of the water or brine having a density of 1 or greater.

Art Unit: 1712

6. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being obvious over Heath (2004/0043906).

Heath teaches a method of treating a well comprising using a microcapsule containing chemicals, and weighting agents within the scope of the present invention. It is taught therein that the weighting agent allows for specific placement within the well (see paragraphs 0018-0022 and 0028). Since capsules of a density of 0.9 may be used, such must reside in the lighter hydrocarbon portion of the well, since the density of water or any brine present would be 1 or greater. To the extent that the capsules of density 0.9 being in the lighter hydrocarbon portion is not instantly envisaged by one of ordinary skill in the art, then such would be obvious in view of the water or brine having a density of 1 or greater.

- 7. Claims 2-14 are allowable over the art of record.
- 8. With respect to Cantu, this rejection is withdrawn, since it does not teach the current invention with sufficient specificity. Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 18 and 19 are not deemed persuasive with respect to WO '744 or Heath, since a density of 0.9 would not place the capsule in the water or brine phase, but in the lighter hydrocarbon phase. Such claims are thus rejected.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1712

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3959